

## Short Term Action Plan on Ecosystem Restoration Group of activities C: Planning and implementation of ecosystem restoration activities

### Biodiversity considerations in the context of restoration science and practice

*G. Gann, L. Hendrick, B. Walder, J. Hallett, B. Bodin*  
Working paper, November 2018

The following concepts can be useful in the implementation of restoration activities:

#### Standards and guidelines [C1, C3, C4]

Standards and guidelines to assist with ecological and ecosystem restoration planning have been produced at the global (see SER International Standards below)<sup>1</sup>, country<sup>2</sup> and ecosystem level. One example of the latter are the ITTO Guidelines for the Restoration, Management and Rehabilitation of Degraded and Secondary Tropical Forests<sup>3</sup>, which have recently been assessed with case studies from Ghana, Indonesia and Mexico<sup>4</sup>. There are also published standards for river restoration<sup>5</sup>, and many other guidelines and manuals published in local languages (e.g., Guidelines for limestone quarry restoration in Mediterranean climate, published in Spanish<sup>6</sup>).

#### Reference ecosystem or model ecosystem [C1, C3, C4]

A reference ecosystem or model can be used both to help guide planning and to assess performance (SER's International Standards for the Practice of Ecological Restoration<sup>1</sup> is a useful resource for more information in this regard). Reference models are assembled from diverse sources of information on native plants, animals, other biota and abiotic conditions. These sources may include multiple reference sites, surveys of the restoration site, and historical records, including human use. It is also critically important that ecological change is considered, both past and current, and what can be projected for the future<sup>7</sup>. The resulting reference or model helps identify and communicate a shared vision of project targets, which then provides a basis for setting goals and objectives as well as for monitoring and assessing restoration outcomes over time. Ecosystem attributes (e.g., species composition, ecosystem functionality, external exchanges) must be identified and measured before, during, and after project implementation.

#### Restoration approaches [C1, C2]

Many approaches can be taken to restore native ecosystems and biodiversity, and an even greater number of approaches can be used that mix ecosystem restoration with other activities, such as agroforestry. These approaches are often used in combination or mosaics across landscapes, and many approaches utilize natural successional processes and ongoing adaptive management to help drive ecosystem recovery.

Natural regeneration, which focuses on removing sources of degradation (e.g., deforestation, inappropriate grazing, over-

fishing, restriction of water flows, and inappropriate fire regimes), has enormous potential to contribute to ecosystem restoration<sup>8-10</sup>. However, natural regeneration alone may not be sufficient and other more active restoration approaches may be needed.

Planted forests with a high diversity of native tree species, for instance, can be used to overcome low resilience, reduced forest cover, and high fragmentation, and create biologically rich and viable forests<sup>11</sup>. Diverse plantings of native species also contribute to the restoration of soils and improve ecosystem resilience<sup>12</sup>.

Agro-successional restoration is defined as the incorporation of a range of agroecology and agroforestry techniques as a transition phase early in forest restoration. This approach could be used more widely to overcome socioeconomic and ecological obstacles to restoration on former agricultural lands<sup>13</sup>.

Planting mixed stands of native trees and commercial species, or allowing natural inclusion of native species in commercial plantations, can lower costs and increase biodiversity in forest restoration. However, co-benefits of mixed plantations may come with some environmental costs over native forests, such as lower water availability<sup>14</sup>.

### Species interactions [C5]

Animals are important seed dispersers and ecosystem engineers in restoration projects. A wide variety of animals are important for dispersal, including birds and bats<sup>15</sup>, and even large mammals<sup>16</sup>. As ecosystem engineers and producers of habitat for many species, beavers, for example, are important in temperate forests<sup>17</sup>. Where animal populations have been depleted or locally extirpated, reversing defaunation using intensive translocation techniques may be considered<sup>18</sup>. Where native animals are

extinct, analogue species are being evaluated as ecological surrogates<sup>19</sup>.

### Genetic diversity and supply of plants and other essential materials [C5]

For restoration to be successful, it is important to identify potential gaps in knowledge, technology or supply chains. Genetic diversity, in particular, plays a critical role in seedling survival and adaptation of forests to environmental change<sup>20,21</sup>. Consequently, use of native tree species over exotic species is advantageous for meeting conservation and sustainable development goals. However, genetic resources for restoration may be limited or decreasing, and efforts to conserve available genetic diversity for restoration may need to be increased<sup>22</sup>. Supplies of native seeds, seedlings, and plants for restoration are also often limited. Policies and supportive programs may be required to develop private nurseries and to ensure adequate supply for the emergent economy of forest restoration<sup>23</sup>.

A policy brief on Safeguarding Investments in Forest Ecosystem Restoration recommended: (1) using adapted and genetically diverse seed; (2) allowing ample planning and implementation time - restoration can take up 20 years or more; and, (3) monitoring success at multiple stages<sup>24</sup>.

### References

- 1 McDonald, T., Gann, G. D., Jonson, J. & Dixon, K. W. (2016) International standards for the practice of ecological restoration- Including principles and key concepts. (Society for Ecological Restoration, Washington, D.C.
- 2 McDonald, T., Jonson, J. & Dixon, K. W. (2016) National standards for the practice of ecological restoration in Australia. *Restoration Ecology* **24**, S6-S32, doi:10.1111/rec.12359.
- 3 International Tropical Timber Organization. (2002) ITTO guidelines for the restoration, management and rehabilitation of degraded and

secondary tropical forests. (ITTO Policy Development Series No 13.

4 Buckingham, K. & Weber, S. (2015) Assessing the ITTO guidelines for the restoration, management and rehabilitation of degraded and secondary tropical forests. Case studies of Ghana, Indonesia and Mexico. *International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO) consultancy with the World Resources Institute*.

5 Palmer, M. A. *et al.* (2005) Standards for ecologically successful river restoration. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **42**, 208-217, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2005.01004.x.

6 Jorba, M. & Vallejo, V. R. (2018) *Manual per a la restauració de pedreres de roca calcària en clima mediterrani*. (Generalitat de Catalunya, Departament de Medi Ambient i Habitatge).

7 Suding, K. *et al.* (2015) Committing to ecological restoration. *Science* **348**, 638-640, doi:10.1126/science.aaa4216.

8 Chazdon, R. L. & Guariguata, M. R. (2016) Natural regeneration as a tool for large-scale forest restoration in the tropics: prospects and challenges. *Biotropica* **48**, 716-730, doi:10.1111/btp.12381.

9 Chazdon, R. L. *et al.* (2017) Partnering with nature: The case for natural regeneration in forest and landscape restoration. (Montreal, Canada.

10 Chazdon, R. L. (2014) *Second growth: The promise of tropical forest regeneration in an age of deforestation*. (University of Chicago Press).

11 Rodrigues, R. R., Lima, R. A. F., Gandolfi, S. & Nave, A. G. (2009) On the restoration of high diversity forests: 30 years of experience in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Biological Conservation* **142**, 1242-1251, doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2008.12.008.

12 Diemont, S. A. W. *et al.* (2006) Lacandon Maya forest management: Restoration of soil fertility using native tree species. *Ecological Engineering* **28**, 205-212, doi:10.1016/j.ecoleng.2005.10.012.

13 Vieira, D. L. M., Holl, K. D. & Peneireiro, F. M. (2009) Agro-successional restoration as a strategy to facilitate tropical forest recovery. *Restoration Ecology* **17**, 451-459, doi:10.1111/j.1526-100X.2009.00570.x.

14 Amazonas, N. T., Forrester, D. I., Oliveira, R. S. & Brancalion, P. H. S. (2018) Combining Eucalyptus wood production with the recovery of native tree diversity in mixed plantings: Implications for water use and availability. *Forest Ecology and Management* **418**, 34-40, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2017.12.006.

15 de la Pena-Domene, M., Martinez-Garza, C., Palmas-Perez, S., Rivas-Alonso, E. & Howe, H. F. (2014) Roles of birds and bats in early tropical-forest restoration. *PLoS One* **9**, e104656, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0104656.

16 Lindsell, J. A., Lee, D. C., Powell, V. J. & Gemita, E. (2015) Availability of large seed-

dispersers for restoration of degraded tropical forest. *Tropical Conservation Science* **8**, 17-27, doi:10.1177/194008291500800104.

17 Thompson, S., Vehkaoja, M. & Nummi, P. (2016) Beaver-created deadwood dynamics in the boreal forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* **360**, 1-8, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2015.10.019.

18 Seddon, P. J., Griffiths, C. J., Soorae, P. S. & Armstrong, D. P. (2014) Reversing defaunation: Restoring species in a changing world. *Science* **345**, 406-412, doi:10.1126/science.1251818.

19 Griffiths, C. J., Hansen, D. M., Jones, C. G., Zuel, N. & Harris, S. (2011) Resurrecting extinct interactions with extant substitutes. *Current Biology* **21**, 762-765, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2011.03.042.

20 Thomas, E. *et al.* (2017) in *The Lima Declaration on Biodiversity and Climate Change: Contributions from Science to Policy for Sustainable Development*. Technical Series No. 89 (eds L. Rodríguez & I. Anderson) 122-134 (Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity).

21 Thomas, E. *et al.* (2014) Genetic considerations in ecosystem restoration using native tree species. *Forest Ecology and Management* **333**, 66-75, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.07.015.

22 Kettle, C. J. (2010) Ecological considerations for using dipterocarps for restoration of lowland rainforest in Southeast Asia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **19**, 1137-1151, doi:10.1007/s10531-009-9772-6.

23 Moreira da Silva, A. P. *et al.* (2017) Can current native tree seedling production and infrastructure meet an increasing forest restoration demand in Brazil? *Restoration Ecology* **25**, 509-515, doi:10.1111/rec.12470.

24 Bioversity International. (2015) *Safeguarding investments in forest ecosystem restoration*. *Policy Brief*, <[https://www.bioversityinternational.org/fileadmin/user\\_upload/Policy\\_Brief\\_Restoration\\_Safeguarding\\_investments\\_2017.pdf](https://www.bioversityinternational.org/fileadmin/user_upload/Policy_Brief_Restoration_Safeguarding_investments_2017.pdf)>.